



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/541,557

07/06/2005

Shlomo Elfanbaum

54/8

5832

44696

7590

08/26/2009

DR. MARK M. FRIEDMAN

C/O BILL POLKINGHORN - DISCOVERY DISPATCH

9003 FLORIN WAY

UPPER MARLBORO, MD 20772

EXAMINER

GEBREMICHAEL, BRUK A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3715

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/26/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mark_f@friedpat.com

friedpat@yahoo.com

sharon_l@friedpat.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/541,557	Applicant(s) ELFANBAUM, SHLOMO	
	Examiner BRUK A. GEBREMICHAEL	Art Unit 3715	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BRUK A. GEBREMICHAEL. (3) MARK FRIEDMAN (Reg. # 33,883).

(2) CAMERON SAADAT. (4) .

Date of Interview: 20 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: .

Claim(s) discussed: 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant briefly discussed possible amendments to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection. Applicant suggested incorporating a computer in the body of the claim to rectify the 101 issue. Examiners agreed that if a machine (such as a computer) is sufficiently tied to the method steps, the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection would be overcome. Applicant agreed to amend the claims accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Cameron Saadat/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3715	
---	--